

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/736,098	12/16/2003	Steven Hong	AP3051-5ZF1BA08	3627	
46828 75	90 11/30/2005		EXAMINER		
STEVEN HO	NG		RODRIGUE	Z, RUTH C	
235 CHUNG-H	O BOX 8-24				
TAIPEI HSIEN	, 235		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
TAIWAN			3677		

DATE MAILED: 11/30/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action						
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief						

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/736,098	HONG, STEVEN
Examiner	Art Unit
Ruth C. Rodriguez	3677

'	Ruth C. Rodriguez	3677	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence ado	ress
 THE REPLY FILED <u>10 November 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THI			
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No. (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice wing replies: (1) an amendment otice of Appeal (with appeal fee)	e of Appeal. To avoid al , affidavit, or other evid in compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth ir an SIX MONTHS from the mailing dat . ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	e of the final rejection.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on	which the petition under 37 CFR 1.13		
peen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened state above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month parned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	atutory period for reply originally set in	the final Office action; or (2)	as set forth in (b)
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must I AMENDMENTS	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37)	e)), to avoid dismissal	of the appeal.
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further contains 	nsideration and/or search (see N		because
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in beautiful appeal; and/or 		reducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		rejected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		-Compliant Amendmen	(PTOL-324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		-Compliant Amendmen	(1 102-024).
5. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a		ate timely filed amendo	nent canceling
the non-allowable claim(s).	·	•	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		will be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 and 2</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		AL 42 CAULTER STORE	
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	ut before or on the date of filing nd sufficient reasons why the affi	a Notice of Appeal Will g davit or other evidence	is necessary
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under ap	peal and/or appellant fa	ails to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application	n in condition for allow	ance because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Pap	er No(s)	
	•	ROBERT J. SAI	NDY INER

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The newly proposed limitations are considered new issues because they have not been previously presented in the claims before. The argument presented by the Applicant for the prior art of record is that it fails to disclose that the screw nut has a plurality of radially distributed blocks. This argument fails to persuade because the patent document by Criswell (US 2,493,282) in lines 31-40 discloses that the nut is an apertured castle nut. Apertured castle nut are known as nuts having a plurality of radially distributed blocks. In order to support the Examiner's position, a copy of patent document by Grimm (US 3,687,182) illustrates a detailed view of the castle nut with its pllurality of radially distributed blocks. Therefore, Criswell meets this claim limitation. Finally, the Applicant also provides arguments with respect to copuler 8 dsiclosed by Criswell. The Examiner fails to be persuaded by this argument because Criswell is only being used for its disclosure of a nut having a plurality of radially distributed blocks and the spring lock pin. The coupler of Crook, Jr (US 4,174,132) is the one being used since Crook, Jr is base reference for the rejection and not Criswell.